



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/663,809	09/17/2003	Masanobu Nishitani	116927	4046

25944 7590 10/25/2007
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC
P.O. BOX 320850
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850

EXAMINER

SAINT CYR, LEONARD

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2626

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

10/25/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/663,809	NISHITANI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Leonard Saint-Cyr	2626	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 August 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 08/13/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Shinoda et al., do not teach selecting a state having the Gaussian distribution number whose description length is minimum for every state (Amendment, page 5 – 7).

The examiner disagrees, Shinoda et al., disclose computing the description length of each node in the Gaussian distribution tree structure; and selecting a node set which makes the description length minimum (page 4, lines 2 – 4). Computing a description length for each node and selecting the one that with minimum description length imply selecting a state having the Gaussian distribution number whose description length is minimum for every state.

2. Applicant argues that Shinoda et al., do not teach time correlation of data (HMM) used for MDL calculation and speech data for learning (Amendment, page 7).

The examiner disagrees, Shinoda et al., disclose that when a set of models $(1, \dots, i, \dots, I)$ and data $X_n = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ are given, a description length $l_i(x_N)$ applying model i is defined by

$$l_i(x_N) = -\log P^{(\hat{\theta}(i))}(x_N) + \frac{1}{2} \log N + \log I$$
, where $\hat{\theta}(i)$ represents the maximum likelihood estimate of the parameter

Art Unit: 2626

$\theta(i) = \theta_{1,i}, \theta_{\beta,i}$ (page 2, section 3, lines 1 – 7).

Determining maximum likelihood for the parameter of model i implies using correlation of data (HMM) used for MDL calculation, since every x_n is assigned beforehand to one Gaussian component in the HMM using the Viterbi algorithm.

3. Applicant argues that Shinoda et al., do not teach syllable HMMs (Amendment, page 7).

The examiner disagrees, Shinoda et al., disclose phonological features in HMM (page 5, lines 3 – 7). Phonological features in HMM implies syllable HMMs.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

5. Claims 1- 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Shinoda et al., (HMM Size Reduction using MDL Criterion, Japan, March 2002).

As per claim 1, Shinoda et al., teach an acoustic model creating method of creating an HMM (Hidden Markov Model) by optimizing, for each state, Gaussian distribution numbers of the respective states constituting the HMM and retraining the optimized HMM using training speech data, the method comprising:

setting plural types of the Gaussian distribution numbers from a predetermined value to a maximum distribution number for each of the plurality of states constituting

Art Unit: 2626

the HMM ("model having a large number of distributions trained with sufficient training data"; page 1; introduction, lines 19 – 23);

computing a description length for each of the plurality of states having the plural types of Gaussian distribution numbers using a Minimum Description Length criterion ("Minimum Description Length criterion minimum is selected for each state"; page 1; introduction, lines 23, and 24);

selecting a state having the Gaussian distribution number whose description length is minimum, for every state (page 4, line 4);

constructing the HMM in accordance with the state having the Gaussian distribution number whose description length is minimum, selected for every state ("re-estimate all HMM parameters"), and retraining the constructed HMM using the training speech data ("re-training HMM"; page 4, lines 5 – 7; page 5, lines 8 – 10); and

performing speech recognition using the retrained HMM (page 4, section 5, lines 1, and 2)

As per claim 2, Shinoda et al., further disclose that for the Minimum Description Length criterion, a description length $l_i(x_N)$ using a model i when a model set $\{1, \dots, i, \dots, I\}$ and data $x_N = \{x_1, \dots, x_N\}$ (N being a data length) are given is expressed as the following general equation,

$$l_i(x_N) = -\log P^{(i)}(x_N) + \frac{1}{2} \log N + \log I.$$

$\theta(i)$: parameter of model i .

$\theta(i)$ =maximum likelihood estimate of $\theta_{1,i}$, $\theta_{\beta,i}$

$\beta(i)$: dimension (degree of freedom) of model i

and in the general equation that computes the description length, the model set $\{1, \dots, i, \dots, I\}$ is considered as a set of states in which plural types of the Gaussian distribution numbers from a predetermined value to the maximum distribution number are set for a predetermined state in a predetermined HMM, where, when the number of types of the Gaussian distribution numbers is I (I is an integer satisfying $I \geq 2$), then $1, \dots, i, \dots, I$ are symbols that specify the respective distribution number types from a first type to an I -th type, and the general equation is used as an equation for computing the description length of the state having an i -th type of distribution number out of $1, \dots, i, \dots, I$ (page 2, section 3 – page 3, line 11).

As per claims 3, and 4, Shinoda et al., further disclose that the second term on the right side of the equation being multiplied by a weighting coefficient α , and the third term on the right side being omitted ("the second term is multiplied by penalty coefficient α "; page 3, lines 10, and 11).

As per claim 5, Shinoda et al., further disclose that x_N being a set of the respective training speech data obtained by matching in time series (x_1, \dots, x_N) a plurality of the training speech data with the respective states of the HMMs for every state, using the HMMs in which the respective states have any one of the Gaussian

Art Unit: 2626

distribution numbers from the predetermined value to the maximum distribution number ("large number of distributions"; page 2, section 3, lines 1, and 2; page 1, lines 19 - 23).

As per claim 6, Shinoda et al., further disclose that the Gaussian distribution numbers being the maximum distribution number ("large number of distributions"; page 1, lines 19 - 23).

As per claim 7, Shinoda et al., further disclose that the HMMs being syllable HMMs ("phonological"; page 5, lines 3 - 7).

As per claim 8, Shinoda et al., further disclose that the syllable HMMs having the same consonant out of the states constituting the syllable HMMs tie an initial state or at least two states including an initial state in the syllable HMMs, and the syllable HMMs having the same vowel tie a final state of the states having self loops or at least two states including the final state in the syllable HMMs ("initial model"; page 4, section 4, line 5 - page 4, line 7)

As per claim 9, Shinoda et al., further disclose that a speech recognition device recognizes input speech using HMMs (Hidden Markov Models) as acoustic models for feature data obtained by feature analysis of the input speech, the HMMs created by the acoustic model creating method according to claim 1 being used as the HMMs which are the acoustic models ("used acoustic feature"; page 4, section 5, lines 1 - 6).

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leonard Saint-Cyr whose telephone number is (571) 272-4247. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon- Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richemond Dorvil can be reached on (571) 272-7602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2626

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

LS
10/22/07


RICHEMOND DORVIL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER